## GLUING ARGUMENTS

## GLUING

By gluing we mean the process of pasting a collection of data that are identified on open subsets. In what follows I means an indexing set and i, j, k denotes generic elements of this set.

The following is a purely topological argument.

**Lemma.** Let  $(U_i)$  be a collection of topological spaces with  $U_{ij}$  being an open set of  $U_i$  for each i, with  $U_{ii} = U_i$ . Suppose furthermore that we have isomorphisms  $\varphi_{ji} \colon U_{ij} \to U_{ji}$  satisfying the cocycle condition: for all i, j, k we have

$$\varphi_{kj} \circ \varphi_{ji} = \varphi_{ki}.$$

Then there exists a topological space X with open embeddings  $\psi_i \colon U_i \to X$  such that

- (1)  $\bigcup_i \psi_i(U_i) = X$
- (2)  $\psi_i(U_{ij}) = \psi_j(U_{ji}) = \psi_i(U_i) \cap \psi(U_j)$
- (3)  $\psi_i \varphi_{ij} = \psi_j$ .

This topological space is unique up to unique isomorphism because it satisfies the following universal property. A map from  $f: X \to Y$  is the same as a collection of maps from  $f_i: U_i \to Y$  such that  $f_i \varphi_{ij} = f_j$ .

*Proof.* We take the quotient of

$$| | | U_i$$

using the equivalence relation given by  $x_i \in U_i$  is the same as  $x_j \in U_j$  if and only if  $x_i \in U_{ij}$  and  $\varphi_{ji}(x_i) = x_j$ . This is an equivalence relation due to the cocycle condition. Denote by  $\psi_i \colon U_i \to X$  the natural maps. Conditions (1)-(2)-(3) now hold by construction, and  $\psi_i$  is injective.

We endow the quotient with the following topology: a set V is open if and only if  $\psi_i^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $U_i$  for all i. Therefore we see that  $\psi_i$  is an open embedding, indeed  $\psi_i^{-1}\psi_i(U_i) = \varphi_{ji}(U_{ij})$  is open in  $U_j$ .

Now we can extend the gluing argument to locally ringed spaces.

**Lemma.** Let  $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$  be a collection of locally ringed spaces with  $U_{ij}$  being an open set of  $U_i$  for each i, with  $U_{ii} = U_i$ . Suppose furthermore that we have isomorphisms of locally ringed spaces  $\varphi_{ji} : (U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i|U_{ij}}) \to (U_{ji}, \mathcal{O}_{U_j|U_{ji}})$  satisfying the cocycle condition: for all i, j, k we have

$$\varphi_{kj}\circ\varphi_{ji}=\varphi_{ki}.$$

Then there exists a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  with open embeddings  $\psi_i \colon U_i \to X$  such that

- (1)  $\bigcup_i \psi_i(U_i) = X$
- (2)  $\psi_i(U_{ij}) = \psi_j(U_{ji}) = \psi_i(U_i) \cap \psi(U_j)$
- (3)  $\psi_i \varphi_{ij} = \psi_i$ .

This locally ringed space is unique up to unique isomorphism because it satisfies the following universal property. A map from  $f: (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  of locally ringed spaces is the same as a collection of maps from  $f_i: (U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  such that  $f_i \varphi_{ij} = f_j$ .

*Proof.* Let X be the topological space constructed in the last lemma. Namely a map  $f: X \to Y$  is the same as a collection of maps  $f_i: U_i \to Y$  with  $f_i \varphi_{ij} = f_j$ . The bijection is given by  $f \mapsto f \circ \psi_i$ . Note that in the data we have isomorphisms in  $Sh(U_{ij})$ 

$$\varphi_{ij}^{\sharp} \colon \mathcal{O}_{U_i|U_{ij}} \to \varphi_{ij*} \mathcal{O}_{U_j|U_{ji}}.$$

If we apply  $\psi_{i*}$  we get isomorphisms in  $Sh(\psi_i(U_{ij})) = Sh(\psi_j(U_{ji}))$ 

$$\psi_{i*}(\varphi_{ij}^{\sharp}) \colon \psi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{U_i|U_{ij}} \to \psi_{i*}\varphi_{ij*}\mathcal{O}_{U_j|U_{ji}} = \psi_{j*}\mathcal{O}_{U_j|U_{ji}}.$$

Denote this isomorphisms by  $\sigma_{ij}$ . These isomorphisms will satisfy the cocyle condition.

Now  $(\psi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{U_i})$  together with  $(\sigma_{ij})$  is a collection of sheaves on the opens  $\psi_i(U_i)$  of X and isomorphisms as in the *gluing sheaves exercise*. Therefore, let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  be the sheaf of rings on X which is the gluing of the preceding data. As for the universal property, on the topological side it follows from the last lemma. On the sheaves side, a map

$$f^{\flat} \colon f^* \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X$$

is the same by universal property of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  as a gluing (see the exercise about gluing sheaves) as a collection of maps

$$f_i^{\flat} \colon f^* \mathcal{O}_Y \to \psi_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$$

with  $\sigma_{ij}f_i^{\flat}=f_j^{\flat}$ . But by adjunction this is the same as a collection of maps

$$f_i^* \mathcal{O}_Y = (f \circ \psi_i)^* \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$$

compatible with  $\varphi_{ij}$ 's which is what is in the sheaf data of a ringed spaces map  $f_i: (U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  such that  $f_i \varphi_{ij} = f_j$ .

**Remark.** If each  $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$  is a scheme, then  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a scheme.

**Remark.** Any scheme is a gluing of affine schemes. Namely, by hypothesis a scheme  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a locally ringed space such that there are open subsets  $U_i$  with isomorphisms

$$\varphi_i \colon (U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A_i).$$

Therefore  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the gluing of  $\operatorname{Spec}(A_i)$  with cocycles  $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i \varphi_j^{-1}$ . In particular to define a map  $f: X \to Y$  to another scheme Y is the same as defining a collection of maps from  $f_i$ :  $\operatorname{Spec}(A_i) \to Y$  which  $f_i \varphi_{ij} = f_j$ .

## COVERING BY AFFINE SCHEMES

In a scheme  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  the intersection of two affine opens need not to be affine. However the following lemma holds.

**Lemma.** Let U and V two open affines of a scheme X. Say that  $\phi \colon \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to U$  and  $\psi \colon \operatorname{Spec}(B) \to V$  are isomorphisms. Then there exists a covering of  $U \cap V$  by open affines such that the intersection of each of this open affines

is affine. More precisely, there exists  $f_1, \ldots f_n$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  in A and B with

$$U \cap V = \bigcup \phi(D(f_i)) = \bigcup \psi(D(g_i)).$$

*Proof.* By quasi-compactness, it suffices to show that for every point  $x \in U \cap V$  there is  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$  with

$$\phi(D(f)) = \psi(D(g)).$$

Let  $x \in \phi(D(f)) \subset U \cap V$ . Let  $g \in B$  with

$$\psi^{-1}(x) \in D(g) \subset \psi^{-1}(\phi(D(f))) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(B).$$

Therefore  $\phi^{-1}\psi$  induces a map of rings  $B \to A_f$  which send g to an element  $g'/f^n \in A_f$ . It follows that  $\phi(D(fg')) = \psi(D(g))$ .

This can be useful in some gluing arguments.

*Example.* We want to show that  $\operatorname{Spec}(A_{red}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  is the reduction in the category of schemes using a "gluing type argument". We proceed in three steps.

- (1) For  $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  with X affine, it follows by duality between affine schemes and rings that it holds for affine schemes.
- (2) Suppose that X is a scheme which admits an affine open cover  $(U_i)$  with intersections being affine. From a map  $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  we get a collection of maps  $U_i \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  by restriction. By the preceding point we have a unique morphism  $f_i \colon U_i \to \operatorname{Spec}(A_{red})$  with the desired property. The intersection of  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  being affine by hypothesis, the two maps  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  necessarily agree on  $U_i \cap U_j$  by unicity in the universal property. Therefore there is a unique map  $f \colon X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A_{red})$  satisfying the requirement.
- (3) Now for a general scheme X, we can cover it by affine schemes. They will intersect in a scheme which satisfies the requirement of (2). Therefore we get a collection of map  $f_i \colon U_i \to \operatorname{Spec}(A_{red})$  which will agree on  $U_i \cap U_j$  by the universal property showed in (2).